

REMARKS

Applicant has amended claim 1 in response to the 35 U.S.C. §101 rejection and has cancelled claims 9-16. Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by the above amendments.

Drawings

Applicant has cancelled claims 9-16 making the objection to the drawing moot.

35 U.S.C §101 Rejection

The Examiner rejected claim 1 as directed to non-statutory subject matter and claims 2-8 for depending from rejected claim 1. The Examiner asserted that: “The instant claimed invention covers the judicial exception (abstract idea or mathematical algorithm).”

Applicant has amended claim 1 to contain structure in the form of an incoming spread spectrum signal received by a GPS receiver. Therefore, statutory subject matter is present in claim 1 and claim 1 is in condition for allowance. Further, claims 2-8 that depend from claim 1 are also in condition for allowance.

35 U.S.C. §112 Rejections

The Examiner rejected claims 9-16 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Applicant has cancelled claims 9-16 in order to expedite issuance of this application and the rejection is now moot.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above remarks and amendment, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is now in proper condition for allowance, which such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
THE ECLIPSE GROUP

By: 

Gregory B. Gulliver, Reg. #44,138
Attorney for Assignee
Registration No. 44,138
The Eclipse Group, LLP
100 Tristate-International, Suite 128
Lincolnshire, IL 60069
Phone: (847) 282-3551
Fax: (312) 264-2387

Customer No. 34408